(2) (4)

Single Bench

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 6493 /2006

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT / WRITS, ORDER / ORDERS, DIRECTION / DIRECTIONS IN FORM OF MANDAMUS / CERTIORARI / PROHIBITION ETC..

PETITIONER

Rajkishore Patel, aged about 26 years, son of Shri Harishchandra Patel, Ex-Up-Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat – Bore, resident of village Bore, Post Deogaon, Block Baramkela, Tah. Sarangarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

RECEIVED COPY
14/11/06
C.R. to A.G. BILASPUR

P.R. No. 6344/06
Presented by Roop Nayak
dated 14-11-06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh through – the Secretary, Department of Panchayat, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Director, Department of Panchayat and Social Welfare, Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Collector, Distt Raigarh
4. The Deputy Director – Panchayat and Social Welfare, Raigarh, Distt. Raigarh Chhattisgarh

14.11.06

(3-2-5)

5. The Sub Divisioinal Officer (Rev.), Tahsil Sarangarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)
6. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Baramkela, Tah. Sarangarh Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)
7. The Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Bore, Block Baramkela, Tah. Sarangarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
8. Nilmani Patel, aged about 36 years, son of Shri Nohar Singh Patel, "Panchayat Karmi" Secretary, in Gram Panchayat Bore, R/o. village, post and block – Baramkela, Tah.Sarangarh Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)
9. Smt. Rookani Chauhan, aged about 60 years, wife of Shri Bedhadak Chauhan, Ex-Sarpanch, Village Panchayat – Bore, Block Baramkela, Tah. Sarangarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

The Petitioner, named above, respectfully submits as under:-

01. PARTICULARS OF THE

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(21)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ...WP 6493/06... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	1-12-2006 Shri Roop Naik, counsel for the petitioner. Smt. Anju Ahuja, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents No.1 to 5. None for respondents No.6 to 9. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the writ petition with liberty to take appropriate remedy available under the law and to represent his grievances before the competent authority. The prayer is not opposed by learned counsel for the State. Considered. Allowed. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty. **Sd/-** **V.K.Shrivastava** **Judge**	

Gowri

5.12.06

(पीछे देखिये)